Message

From: Beck, Nancy [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP

(FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=168ECB5184AC44DE95A913297F353745-BECK, NANCY]

Sent: 10/15/2017 11:56:50 PM

To: Bowman, Liz [Bowman.Liz@epa.gov]

CC: Jackson, Ryan [jackson.ryan@epa.gov]; Wilcox, Jahan [wilcox.jahan@epa.gov]

Subject: Re: Updated for NYT

For what it's worth, I would feel better knowing we tried, instead of doing nothing. However I recognize this will do little, if anything, to help.

Nancy B. Beck, Ph.D., DABT Deputy Assistant Administrator, OCSPP

P: 202-564-1273

Personal Address / Ex. 6

Beck.Nancy@epa.gov

On Oct 15, 2017, at 6:20 PM, Bowman, Liz < Bowman, Liz@epa.gov> wrote:

I know, that is why I am debating whether it's even worth it. I sent him a scathing quote last week basically saying there is no point in us responding to these as nothing we send will be used fairly in his hit piece

From: Jackson, Ryan

Sent: Sunday, October 15, 2017 6:12 PM **To:** Bowman, Liz <<u>Bowman, Liz@epa.gov</u>>

Cc: Beck, Nancy <Beck.Nancy@epa.gov>; Wilcox, Jahan <wilcox.jahan@epa.gov>

Subject: Re: Updated for NYT


I'm fine with it but this is quite insane. I'm concerned about the hatchet job coming with this from the aholes at the NYT.


Ryan Jackson Chief of Staff U.S. EPA (202) 564-6999


On Oct 15, 2017, at 5:31 PM, Bowman, Liz <Bowman, Liz@epa.gov> wrote:


While I do not believe that anything we send will be incorporated or used to dispel the clear bias, the updated responses are below. Ryan/Nancy, let me know if this is good to send. Nancy, I can copy you on the response, but would encourage you to refrain from replying to him directly with any follow-ups. Thanks – Liz


Deliberative Process / Ex. 5














Deliberative Process / Ex. 5

Liz Bowman U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Office: 202-564-3293